

Judul Artikel: Does Teacher’s Training Affect the Pedagogical Competence of Mathematics Teachers?

Terbit di: Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 1097 [Proceedings of 5th International Conference on Research, Implementation, and Education of Mathematics and Science], Tahun 2018

	Halaman
Screen Capture Prosiding di Database Scopus	1
Screen Capture Prosiding di Scimago Journal & Country Rank (SJR)	2
Sampul Prosiding	4
Panitia Pelaksan dan Pengarah	5
Daftar Isi Prosiding	8
File Artikel (Fulltext)	1-8



SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name



Home

Journal Rankings

Country Rankings

Viz Tools

Help

About Us

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Country [United Kingdom](#) - [SJR Ranking of United Kingdom](#)

Subject Area and Category [Physics and Astronomy](#)
[Physics and Astronomy \(miscellaneous\)](#)

Publisher [Institute of Physics](#)

Publication type Journals

ISSN 17426588, 17426596

Coverage 2005-ongoing

Scope The open access Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS) provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.



[Homepage](#)

[How to publish in this journal](#)

[Contact](#)




[Join the conversation about this journal](#)

65


H Index








Quartiles

Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous)



 SJR 

Citations per document 



**Journal of Physics:
Conference Series**

Q3 Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2018
0.22

powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```



Source details

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Scopus coverage years: from 2005 to 2019

Publisher: Institute of Physics Publishing

ISSN: 1742-6588 E-ISSN: 1742-6596

Subject area: Physics and Astronomy: General Physics and Astronomy

CiteScore 2018

0.51

Add CiteScore to your site

SJR 2018

0.221

SNIP 2018

0.454

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Journal Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [CiteScore presets](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

CiteScore 2018 ▼ Calculated using data from 30 April, 2019

$$0.51 = \frac{\text{Citation Count 2018}}{\text{Documents 2015 - 2017}^*} = \frac{11,243 \text{ Citations } >}{21,896 \text{ Documents } >}$$

*CiteScore includes all available document types [View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#)

CiteScore rank ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Physics and Astronomy	#167/216	
└ General Physics and Astronomy		

[View CiteScore trends >](#)

CiteScoreTracker 2019 ⓘ Last updated on 06 February, 2020 Updated monthly

$$0.56 = \frac{\text{Citation Count 2019}}{\text{Documents 2016 - 2018}} = \frac{17,309 \text{ Citations to date } >}{31,134 \text{ Documents to date } >}$$

Metrics displaying this icon are compiled according to Snowball Metrics ↗, a collaboration between industry and academia.

About Scopus

- [What is Scopus](#)
- [Content coverage](#)
- [Scopus blog](#)
- [Scopus API](#)
- [Privacy matters](#)

Language

- [日本語に切り替える](#)
- [切换到简体中文](#)
- [切换到繁體中文](#)
- [Русский язык](#)

Customer Service

- [Help](#)
- [Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies.



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The 5th International Conference on Research, Implementation, & Education of Mathematics and Sciences

To cite this article: 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **1097** 011001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

**The 5th International Conference on Research, Implementation, and
Education of Mathematics and Science (ICRIEMS)**

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

7-8 May 2018

Editors:

Agung Wijaya Subiantoro, Ed. D.

Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam,
Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia
agung_wijaya@uny.ac.id

Dr. Slamet Suyanto

Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam,
Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia
slamet_suyanto@uny.ac.id

Prof. Ferry Butar Butar, Ph. D.

Departement of Mathematics and Statistics,
Sam Houston State University, USA
butar@shsu.edu



PREFACE

The fifth International Conference on Research, Implementation, and Education of Mathematics and Science (ICRIEMS) is an annual conference organized by the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Yogyakarta State University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia and successfully held from 7 to 8 May, 2018. The theme of the 5th ICRIEMS is revitalizing research and education on mathematics and science for innovations and social development. The conference was a forum for researchers, educators, students, policy makers, and practitioners to achieve the innovation and social development through research and education on mathematics and science, as it is accentuated by the theme of this conference. The scope of this conference covers the area of mathematics, chemistry, physics, biology, mathematics education, chemistry education, physics education, and science education. This proceeding contains 157 that have been carefully peer reviewed and selected from 575 papers submitted to the conference.

We would like to express our gratitude to the reviewers of these manuscripts, who provided constructive criticism and stimulated comments and suggestions to the authors. We are extremely grateful as organizers, technical program committee and editors and extend our most sincere thanks to all the participants of the conference for their fruitful work and their excellent contribution to the development of this conference proceedings. Our sincere gratitude also goes to the IOP Publishing editors and managers for their helpful cooperation during the preparation of the proceedings.

On behalf of the Organizing Committee of the 5th ICRIEMS

Agung Wijaya Subiantoro, Ed.D.

List of Committees

International Advisory Committee

1. Prof. Dr. Wim T van Horssen (Applied Mathematics, TU Delft, Netherland)
2. Prof. (Assoc.) Dr. Nor'ashiqin Mohd Idrus (Mathematics Education, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
3. Dr. Emeterio Franco Perez (Mathematics Education, University of Colima, Mexico)
4. Osva Antonio Montesinos Lopez, Ph.D (Biostatistics, University of Colima, Mexico)
5. Dr. Herni Utami, M.Si. (Statistics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia)
6. Dr. Hasih Pratiwi (Statistics, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia)
7. Dr.Eng. Liem Peng Hong (Physics, Nippon Advanced Information Service Co., Inc (NAIS Co., Inc) Japan, Visiting Professor at Tokyo City University, Japan)
8. Allen Price, Ph.D (Physics Education, Emmanuel College Boston, USA)
9. Prof. Dr. Mudasir, M.Eng. (Chemistry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia)
10. Hasan Daupor, Ph.D (Chemistry, Yala Rajabhat University, Thailand)
11. Dr. Muhd Ibrahim (Chemistry Education, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
12. Prof. Saberi Bin Othman (Biology, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
13. Ana Otero, Ph.D (Biology/Biology Education, Emmanuel College, Boston, USA)
14. Prof. Dr. Yoshisuke Kumano (Science Education, Shizuoka University, Japan)

Organizing Committee

1. Dr. Hartono
2. Dr. rer.nat Senam
3. Dr. Slamet Suyanto
4. Agung Wijaya Subiantoro, Ed.D.
5. Kismiantini, Ph.D.
6. Nur Aeni Ariyanti, Ph.D
7. Dr. Sri Andayani
8. Dr. Rida Siti Nur'aini Mahmudah
9. Dr. Ixora Sartika Mercuriani
10. Dr. Antuni Wiyarsi
11. Dr. Karyati
12. Wahyu Setyaningrum, Ph.D
13. Dr. Pujianto
14. Dr. Supardi
15. Ilham Rizkianto, M. Sc.



Table of contents

Volume 1097

2018

◀ Previous issue Next issue ▶

The 5th International Conference on Research, Implementation, & Education of Mathematics and Sciences 7–8 May 2018, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 03 September 2018

Published online: 12 October 2018

[View all abstracts](#)

Preface

OPEN ACCESS 011001

The 5th International Conference on Research, Implementation, & Education of Mathematics and Sciences

[+ View abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 011002

Peer review statement

[+ View abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

Papers

OPEN ACCESS 012001

Using Video Integrated with Local Potentiality to Improve Students' Concept Mastery in Natural Science Learning

E C Dewi, I G P Suryadarma and I Wilujeng

[+ View abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012002

Gender Differences in Students' Science Literacy towards Learning on Integrated Science Subject

M L Kristiyasari, S Yamtinah, S B Utomo, Ashadi and N Y Indriyanti

[+ View abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012003

The Analysis of High School Students' Science Literacy Based on Nature of Science Literacy Test (NOSLiT)

P R Murti, N S Aminah and Harjana

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012102

Mathematical Learning through Modeling Task in Senior High School: Using Nutrition Context

B Riyanto, Zulkardi, R I I Putri and Darmawijoyo

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012103

Using bar model to solve word problems on profit, loss and discount

N A Madani, K A Tengah and R C I Prahmana

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012104

How to teach geometry theorems using worked examples: A cognitive load theory perspective

H M H Rohman and E Retnowati

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012105

Game "STATIC": Is It Interesting for Students?

N H Salsabila and W Setyaningrum

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012106

Does Teacher's Training Affect the Pedagogical Competence of Mathematics Teachers?

F A Ningtiyas and Jailani

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012107

The influence of metacognition in mathematical problem solving

L R Izzati and A Mahmudi

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012108

PISA like Mathematics Problems: a case of soccer context

A Efriani, R I I Putri and Hapizah

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012109

Hypothetical Learning Trajectory: A Case of Statistical Thinking

N A Rahayu and A Wijaya

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012110

Characteristics of Algebraic Thinking of Junior High School Students

D I Rahmawati

[+](#) View abstract [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012111

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Does Teacher's Training Affect the Pedagogical Competence of Mathematics Teachers?

To cite this article: F A Ningtiyas and Jailani 2018 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1097** 012106

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Does Teacher's Training Affect the Pedagogical Competence of Mathematics Teachers?

F A Ningtias¹ and Jailani²

¹Mathematics Education, Postgraduate Mathematics Education Program, Yogyakarta State University, Depok, Sleman, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

²Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Yogyakarta State University, Depok, Sleman, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

fitri.ayu2016@student.uny.ac.id

Abstract. Teacher pedagogical competence is one of the factors affects the quality of education. This study was designed to examine the effect of trainings attended by mathematics teachers on their pedagogical competence. Based on this study, pedagogical competence generally involves two aspects: knowledge and skill. This study will examine the effect of training on each of these aspects. The samples in this study were randomly selected by conducting a survey of 20 mathematics teachers located in Indonesia. The tests were administered to measure knowledge aspect and questionnaires were given to measure skill aspect and the trainings. The test data revealed that the trainings contributed negatively to knowledge aspect. While the questionnaire data reveal that the trainings followed by them contributed positively to skill aspect. In this case, the trainings have a positive effect on the pedagogical skills of mathematics teachers. Researchers also found that mathematics teachers need trainings related to learning tools, method / technique / learning strategy, use of information technology and instructional media. Researchers also found other problems of trainings that have been given to the mathematics teachers that is not in accordance with their needs and they required continuous training with active communication between training participants.

1. Introduction

Teachers are educators who have an influence on learning process and have a big role in achieving goals of national education [1]. Teachers have a strategic contribution in determining student successes, the combination of early cognitive abilities and affective of teachers will affect 65% of the success of students and if supported by the quality of learning then the interaction will benefit for 90% [2]. In this case, teachers also influence student achievement [2]. In addition, it is not deniable that to produce students who are ready to compete in modern world, they must be educated by teachers who have adequate capacity and competence in accordance with future needs. In fact, the quality of teachers in Indonesia is still far from adequate to be able to make fundamental changes in education [3]. Therefore, teachers need to improve their knowledge and skills to improve and explore their teaching practices [4].

In order to develop teacher's potension in teaching, teacher competence becomes a matter that needs to be considered and discussed in depth [4]. Teacher competence influences values, behaviors, communication, goals, and teacher teaching practices [5]. In this case, competence can be discussed in



many dimensions such as field competencies, research competencies, curriculum competencies, lifelong learning competences, socio-cultural competencies, emotional competence, communication competencies and information technology and communication competencies [4]. In Indonesia, teacher competence includes pedagogical competence, personality competence, social competence, and professional competency [6]. This research will be limited to the pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers.

Various efforts should be established to improve teacher competence including teachers pedagogical competence [7]. How well teachers in teaching is dependent on motivation, qualifications, experience, training, talents and other factors [8]. Teacher competence also depends on trainings that teachers follow [9]. Teachers must continue to learn, follow scientific activities such as trainings, seminars, workshops to expand their horizons, enhance experience and apply them in teaching and learning activities conducted by teachers [10]. Focused training may affect the professionalism of teachers in teaching. [11]. In addition, teacher trainings should understand the differences that each teacher has, both in terms of the preferred learning activities and the competencies that teachers have acquired, so that the training programs provided are not using only one common approach designed equally for all teachers [12]. Provision of trainings on the use of technology requires teachers to effectively use technology and information applications in the classroom to improve teacher quality in teaching [13].

An educational system with qualified standards will regulate teacher's training strictly in order to obtain higher level of professionalism [14]. Therefore, teacher training must understand the differences of each teacher, both in terms of preferred learning activities and the competencies that have been obtained by teachers [12]. Beside that, teachers should take focused training to improve their professionalism, the training includes various activities that teachers must follow during the training period, as part of teacher professional development [11]. In this case, it appears that trainings have an important role to the professionalism of teachers. Furthermore, this study aims to examine the influence of trainings that mathematics teachers follow to their pedagogical competence. In line with the objectives, the following research questions are directed at:

1. Do trainings affect the pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers in terms of knowledge aspect?
2. Do trainings affect the pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers in terms of skill aspect?

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Teachers Pedagogical Competence

Competence is what a person needs to do or about performance, under conditions of what should be done or about conditions and how well it should be done or about the standard, so that competence is more than just a job description or work activity [5]. Competence is an ability to apply knowledge and skills [15]. Competence is sufficient skill and understanding to perform certain types of work satisfactorily [16]. Teaching competence is a set of abilities, knowledge and beliefs that teachers possess and is also used to create an effective learning process [16].

Pedagogical competence is the ability of teachers in managing learning that includes the ability to plan a learning program, the ability to interact or manage the learning process and the ability to perform an assessment [17]. Pedagogical competence consists of knowledge and skills which is successful teaching relies on subject deep knowledge, linking theory and research to teaching and learning, and simultaneously using knowledge and skills in a rational context. [18]. Implementation of teacher pedagogical competence can optimize the development of learners from the intellectual, emotional and moral aspects [17]. Pedagogical competence is the ability and willingness to regularly apply attitudes, knowledge, and skills which aim to encourage learning to create learning in the best way [19]. Teacher pedagogical competence is the ability to manage learning, which includes planning, implementation and evaluation of learning outcomes of learners [7]. Pedagogical competence is the

ability of a person to use a combination of tangible resources (such as instruction materials and technology) and intangible resources (such as knowledge, skills and experience) coordinated and synergized [20]. Teachers with good pedagogical content knowledge can understand the difficulties experienced by students in learning mathematics material so that students can understand its structure and be avoided from its difficulty [21]. The effect of enhancing teacher pedagogical skills is generated gradually toward students, whereas when teachers improve pedagogical skills, student achievement will also increase [22].

2.2 Teachers Training

Truelove reveals that training aims to transfer the knowledge, skills and attitudes needed to carry out tasks related to the work of [23]. According to Solman, the training was marked by interventions that contained various content that led to the desired behavior change [23]. By effectively training and developing individuals, there will be a growth in career potential and encourage increased personal motivation [24].

The teacher influences student learning achievement [25]. Many ways are done to improve the quality of teachers in teaching including by providing training to teachers. therefore, teachers need to be given adequate training to encourage effective learning [26]. This is because training increases the effectiveness of teachers in teaching and training can also improve the quality and professionalism of teachers in teaching [27]. The SEC revealed that teachers must update the results of teaching and academic research, evaluate effectiveness in teaching and change teaching strategies so that each teacher must understand the type of training they need [28]. But unfortunately, the long distance between institutions / locations of training and teachers in the regions sometimes creates difficulties for teachers to identify and find specific training needed by teachers in Indonesia [29].

3. Methods

3.1 Research Method

The research method used is a limited survey method. This method is used because of the research objective to examine if the training influences pedagogical competence either from the knowledge aspect or from the skill aspect in the limited sample. The instrument of this study using tests and questionnaires. The test is used to measure teachers pedagogical competence from knowledge aspect and the questionnaire is used to measure teachers pedagogical competence from skill aspect. Beside that, questionnaire is also used to measure related training that had been followed by teachers. Furthermore, this study will answer research questions about the effect of training on mathematics teachers pedagogical competence in terms of knowledge aspect and the effect of training on mathematics teachers pedagogical competence in terms of skill aspect. Furthermore, simple linier regression is used to review its effects. Tests and questionnaires in structured surveys were developed for data collection.

3.2 Participants

Subjects in this study were an affordable sample that was assumed to be quite representative of the teacher population in junior and senior high schools. The samples in this study were 20 teachers and were randomly selected from 7 junior and 13 senior high schools with 1-6 years teaching experience. Research subjects spread in 4 different provinces in Indonesia namely Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Central Java, DKI Jakarta and Riau Province. The sample selection is based on the ease of research access and the researcher's time limitations.

3.3 Data Collection

To collect information about teachers pedagogical competence, tests and questionnaires were developed. The multiple-choice tests were used to measure the pedagogical competence of the mathematics teachers in terms of the 10-point knowledge aspect: (a) 2 questions about learning

principles (b) 2 questions about approach / strategy / method / technique / learning model (c) 2 questions about curriculum development principles (d) 2 questions on assessment techniques and (e) 2 questions about student characteristics.

The questionnaires were developed through 51 statements of pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers. The questionnaires were used to measure the pedagogical competence of the mathematics teachers in terms of the skill aspect, questionnaire contained: (a) 17 statements about teacher ability in managing learning (b) 6 statements about application of method/technique/learning model (c) 9 statements about teacher's communication (d) 9 statements about understanding the characteristics of students, (e) 3 statements about the use of learning resources and (f) 7 statements about utilizing technology. The measurement scale used in this questionnaire was the Likert scale.

In order to collect information related trainings that teachers followed, the questionnaires were developed in 16 items of statement: (a) 5 statements about training curriculum, (b) 5 statements about techniques / methods / strategies / learning models, (c) 5 statements about learning media including technology. The measurement scale used in these questionnaires was the Likert scale. In addition, the researcher also provided open question to the mathematics teachers about the types and characteristics of trainings needed by mathematics teachers and the questions about the suitability of the trainings that they followed with their needs.

This research instrument has been validated by expert judgment. The reliability estimate using Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficient formula.

3.4 Data Analysis

This study used inferential statistics with simple linear regression to examine if training influences pedagogical competence in terms of knowledge aspect and skill aspect.

4. Results and Discussion

To answer this research questions, the researchers first performed the reliability test on the instrument test and the questionnaire. The composite reliability value between 0.6-0.7 is acceptable in the preliminary study, but in large studies the expected value is 0.7 to 0.9 [30]. The coefficient reliability from instrument test and questionnaires of pedagogical competence can be seen in table 1 below.

Table 1. Reliability coefficient of pedagogical competence in terms of knowledge aspect (a) and Reliability coefficient of pedagogical competence in terms of skill (b)

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,716	10

(a)

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,718	51

(b)

Based on table 1 it is found that pedagogical competence instrument is viewed from knowledge and skill aspects as well as reliable skill because value of Cronbach's Alpha > 0.7. Furthermore, it will be reviewed the reliability of the trainings followed by the mathematics teachers.

Table 2. Reliability coefficient of training that teacher's followed.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,822	16

Based on table 2 it is found that the teacher training instrument is reliable because value of Cronbach's Alpha > 0.7. Furthermore, we will examine the effect of trainings on pedagogical competence from the aspects of knowledge and skills. The effect of trainings on pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers in terms of knowledge aspects is shown in table 3 below.

Tabel 3. Anova^a

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	3,298	1	3,298	,492	,492 ^b
	Residual	120,702	18	6,706		
	Total	124,000	19			

Based on table 3, it was found that F arithmetic = 0.492 and p value > 0.05. This means there is no significant effect between trainings and pedagogical competence in terms of knowledge aspect. Furthermore, we will discuss about the causes of this condition might occur, based on the questions given in the questionnaire related to trainings followed by teachers, this following result were found.

Are the trainings you (Mr/Mrs) were getting according to your needs in improving your pedagogical competence?




Figure 1. Teacher-related training questions.

Based on the diagram above, it is known that 72% of mathematics teachers feel that the trainings has not represented the needs of mathematics teachers to improve their pedagogical competence. This can be one of the factors that caused the training does not significantly affect the mathematics teacher pedagogical competence in terms of knowledge aspects because the training that teachers followed has not represented the needs of teachers in improving their pedagogical competence.

In addition, there are several reasons that training can not represent the teachers' needs in improving teacher pedagogical competence in term of knowlege aspect as follow.

Table 4. Teachers' reasoning that training can not represent their needs.

No.	Causes

1.	Training rarely discuss about teacher pedagogical competence theories (training is more focused on practice)
2.	Training focused on learning media
3.	Training is done in a short time
4.	Training is not continuous

The things mentioned above (especially about the pedagogical competence theories that is rarely discussed) can be the reason why the pedagogical competence of teachers viewed from the knowledge aspect is not influenced by the training that teacher's followed. Based on table 4, it can be seen that the training did not represent the teacher's needs and the training carried out is only in the form of seminars within a certain timeframe. The training also did not involve continued communication between fellow trainees. In addition, training focuses more on practices and related learning media. Furthermore, the effect of trainings on pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers in terms of skill aspects is shown in table 4 below.

Tabel 5. Anova^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	717,989	1	717,989	6,231	,022 ^b
	Residual	2074,211	18	115,234		
	Total	2792,200	19			

Based on table 5, it is found that F arithmetic = 6,231 and p value < 0.05. This means there is a significant influence between trainings with pedagogical competence in terms of skill aspect. In this case, previous research also found that providing training for teachers in the use of technology is important to do because technology is effectively used in classroom to improve the quality of teaching and learning [13]. Beside that, teachers should be given adequate pre-service training courses characterized by effectiveness, creativity and improvement, highest attention should be given to the use of relevant technology in the field of education [8]. In this respect, this study showed that the training influences the pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers in terms of skills aspects where utilizing technology's indicator is included in the skill aspects of pedagogical competence.

In other hand, based on the questions given in the questionnaire related to trainings followed by teachers, this study also found the results according to figure 2 below.

Do you (Mr/Mrs) need continuous and communicative trainings among fellow trainees even when the training time is over?




Figure 2. Questions regarding teacher training characteristics required.

Based on the diagram above, it is known that 92% of mathematics teachers feel the need of continuous trainings and communicative among fellow trainees so that the trainings are held not only in the form of workshops that will end in a matter of days. Furthermore, it was also found that mathematics teachers desperately need trainings related learning tools, model / methods / techniques / learning strategies, use of information technology and instructional media.

5. Conclusion

Pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers viewed from knowledge aspect is not influenced by teacher's training and pedagogical competence of mathematics teachers viewed from skill aspect is influenced by teacher's training. In addition, mathematics teachers need continuous and communicative trainings with types of trainings in the form of training learning tools, models / methods / techniques / learning strategies, use of information technology and learning media.

6. Recommendation

Based on the research findings, the researchers recommend that related institutions should pay attention to the needs of each teacher before conducting a training including the type of training required by the teacher because proper training will greatly help the teacher to improve pedagogical competence from the skill aspect by the mathematics teachers.

7. References

- [1] Salam S 2016 *Proceeding the 4th SEA-DR.* **52** 404
- [2] Bloom B S 1976 *Human characteristics and school learning* (Tokyo: McGraw-Hill) p 169
- [3] Rizali A, Sidi I D and Dharma S 2008 *Dari guru konvensional menuju guru profesional.* (Jakarta: Grasindo) p 13-14
- [4] Selvi K 2014 *International Journal of Culture and Axiology.* **8** 167
- [5] Dobson G 2003 *A guide to writing competency based training materials* (Melbourne: National Volunteer Skills Centre) p 8
- [6] Presiden 2005 *Undang-undang RI Nomor 14 Guru dan Dosen* (Jakarta: Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan) p 6
- [7] Rahman M 2014 *J. of Education and Practice.* **5** 75
- [8] Nadeem M, Rana M S, Lone A H, Maqbool S, Naz K and Ali A 2011 *Int. J. of Business and Social Science* **2** 217
- [9] Shukla S 2014 *J. of Research & Method in Education* **4** 44
- [10] Wenno I H 2016 *Int. J. of Science and Research* **5** 1061
- [11] Yani A, Rianita L, and Utami R 2015 *Int. J. of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World* **8** 1
- [12] Henze I and Driel J H 2009 *J. of Teacher Education* **60** 184
- [13] Yoon K S, Duncan T, Lee S W Y, Scarloss B, and Shapley K 2007 *Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement, Issues & Answers Report, REL 2007–No. 033* (Washington, DC: Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest) p 1-55
- [14] OECD 2013 *Supporting Teacher Professionalism: Insights from TALIS 2013* (Paris: Talis) p 19-183
- [15] Mulder M 2012 *European Vocational Education and Training* (London: Kogan Page) p 155-175
- [16] Saeed M and Mahmood K 2002 *The Int. J. of Educ. Management* **16** 190
- [17] Akhyak, Idrus M and Bakar, Y A 2013 *Int. J. of Educ. and Res* **1** 1
- [18] Sahana A and E V 2015 *Int. J. of Commerce, Business and Management* **4** 1150

- [19] Apelgren K and Giertzswedish B 2010 *Perspective on pedagogical competence: Pedagogical competence – a key to pedagogical development and quality in higher education* (Swedia: Division for Development of Teaching and Learning) p 25-40
- [20] Madhavaram S and Laverie D A 2010 *J. of Marketing Education* **20** 1
- [21] Tsafe A K 2013 *Scientific J. of Pure and Applied Sciences* **2** 35
- [22] Marzano R J and Toth M D 2013 *Teacher evaluation that makes a difference: A new model for teacher growth and student achievement* (Virginia: ASCD) p 14
- [23] Masadeh M 2012 *European Scientific J* **8** 62
- [24] Truitt D L 2011 *Sage Open* **1** 1
- [25] Fritsch S, Berger S, Seifried J, Bouley F, Wuttke E, Schnick-Vollmer K and Schmitz B 2015 *Empirical Res. in Vocational Education and Training* **7** 1
- [26] Harris D N and Sass T R 2011 *J. of Public Economics* **95** 798
- [27] Breckwoldt J, Svensson J, Lingemann C and Gruber H 2014 *BMC Medical Education* **14** 1
- [28] Maria K 2018 *J. of Education and Training* **5** 22
- [29] Luschei T F and Zubaidah I 2012 *Asia Pacific J. of Educ* **32** 333
- [30] Hair J F, Hult G T M, Ringle C and Sarstedt M 2014 *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. (Los Angeles: Sage Publications) p 102